

Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for the Generation of Computable Operational Definitions in Electronic Health Records: Facilitating Real-World Evidence Research

Evelyn Rizzo¹, Michael Buck², Kevin Kallmas³, David Thompson⁴, Aaron W. C. Kamau²

Scan here or follow link for e-poster*




¹Mobility HEOR, Akron, Ohio, USA; ²Navidence Inc., Cottonwood Heights, Utah, USA; ³Nested Knowledge St. Paul, Minnesota, USA; ⁴Rubidoux Research, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Objective:

Computable operational definitions (CODEfs) are essential for identifying patient cohorts in real-world evidence (RWE) studies. However, manual development of these phenotypes is time-consuming and often lacks standardization or validation.

The objective is to evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of using artificial intelligence (AI) software to identify algorithms used in CODEfs for population identification in RWE research libraries.

How did we perform the AI-driven search?



*Online Poster



Copies of this poster obtained through the QR code or link are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission.

Results: The AI-supported search returned 240 studies for screening, of which 94 were excluded for having a <.1 probability of inclusion by the AI model. Twenty-three studies were included and underwent full-text tagging with AI-driven smart tagging recommendations reviewed and applied by team members. The tagging process yielded 31 algorithms for identifying patients with LC which included three algorithms for distinguishing small cell LC and 10 for identifying non-small cell LC within the data sets with varying algorithmic accuracy. The software allowed algorithms to be downloaded to an excel sheet so CODEf performance could be compared and referenced for future RWE research.

Figure 1: AI-driven Lit Review




Figure 3: Tagging




Figure 2: Screening





Figure 4: CODEf Creation



Conclusion: AI-assisted identification of algorithms for CODEfs is feasible and faster than reviewing articles manually. This approach has the potential to accelerate research timelines and improve reproducibility, as coding methods continue to evolve.